

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/824,930	GENTLES ET AL.	
	Examiner Andrew Kim	Art Unit 3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew Kim.

(3) Steven Lundberg.

(2) John Hotaling.

(4) John Fischer
(5) Michael Blankstein.

Date of Interview: 03 October 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes
If Yes, brief description: _____ e) No.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,10 and 20-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Martinek.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.




JOHN M. HOTALING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiners and applicant's representatives discussed claim 1,10 and 20-24 with respect to the authentication of the game server, access control list, and location of the server. The examiner may clarify the aforementioned elements in the prior art. The applicant's response will be given full consideration upon receipt..

A handwritten signature consisting of several thick, continuous black lines forming abstract, flowing shapes.